

Evaluation Criteria for the Authorisation as Local Plan-making Authority

CHECKLIST PRIOR TO REQUEST FOR AUTHORISATION TO COUNCIL TO BE LOCAL PLAN-MAKING AUTHORITY	
Local Government Area:	Port Macquarie-Hastings Council
Name of draft LEP:	Port Macquarie-Hastings Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No *)
Address of Land (if applicable):	Lot 11 DP 1029846 No 1179 Oxley Highway, Sancrox
Intent of draft LEP:	To permit a Highway Service Centre to be located on the south-western corner of the intersection of the Oxley Highway and Pacific Highway at Sancrox, west of Port Macquarie. In addition the draft LEP proposes to permit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ancillary hotel or motel accommodation for heavy vehicle drivers • subdivision to excise the Highway Service Centre site from the residue area • subsequent subdivision of the Highway Service Centre site following construction to create separate title for individual tenancies, and • retention of a dwelling entitlement on the residue lot.
Additional Supporting Points/Information:	Preliminary consultation has occurred with the RMS in relation to highway access and the proponent is currently undertaking additional traffic modelling in accordance with a detailed brief prepared in consultation with the RMS and Council. It is intended that further consultation occur with RMS following issue of a Gateway determination and prior to public exhibition of the Planning Proposal.
DP&E ref:	
Council ref:	PP2017 – 10.1

Evaluation criteria for the issuing of an Authorisation				
(Note: where the matter is identified as relevant and the requirement has not been met, council is to attach information to explain why the matter has not been addressed)	Council response		Department assessment	
	Y/N	Not relevant	Agree	Not agree
Is the planning proposal consistent with the Standard Instrument Order 2006?	Y			
Does the planning proposal contain an adequate explanation of the intent, objectives, and intended outcome of the proposed amendment?	Y			
Are appropriate maps included to identify the location of the site and the intent of the amendment?	Y			
Does the planning proposal contain details related to proposed consultation?	Y			
Is the planning proposal compatible with an endorsed regional or sub-regional planning strategy or a local strategy endorsed by the Director-General?	Y			
Does the planning proposal adequately address any consistency with all relevant Sec 9.1 Planning Directions?	Y			
Is the planning proposal consistent with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs)?	Y			

Evaluation Criteria for the Delegation of Plan Making Functions

Evaluation criteria for the issuing of an Authorisation				
(Note: where the matter is identified as relevant and the requirement has not been met, council is to attach information to explain why the matter has not been addressed)	Council response		Department assessment	
	Y/N	Not relevant	Agree	Not agree
Minor Mapping Error Amendments				
Does the planning proposal seek to address a minor mapping error and contain all appropriate maps that clearly identify the error and the manner in which the error will be addressed?	N			
Heritage LEPs				
Does the planning proposal seek to add or remove a local heritage item and is it supported by a strategy/study endorsed by the Heritage Office?	N			
Does the planning proposal include another form of endorsement or support from the Heritage Office if there is no supporting strategy/study?	N			
Does the planning proposal potentially impact on an item of State Heritage Significance and if so, have the views of the Heritage Office been obtained?	N			
Reclassifications				
Is there an associated spot rezoning with the reclassification?	N			
If yes to the above, is the rezoning consistent with an endorsed Plan of Management (POM) or strategy?		NA		
Is the planning proposal proposed to rectify an anomaly in a classification?		NA		
Will the planning proposal be consistent with an adopted POM or other strategy related to the site?		NA		
Will the draft LEP discharge any interests in public land under section 30 of the Local Government Act 1993?		NA		
If so, has council identified all interests; whether any rights or interests will be extinguished; any trusts and covenants relevant to the site; and, included a copy of the title with the planning proposal?		NA		
Has the council identified that it will exhibit the planning proposal in accordance with the Department's Practice Note (PN 09-003) Classification and reclassification of public land through a local environmental plan and Best Practice Guideline for LEPs and Council Land?		NA		
Has council acknowledged in its planning proposal that a Public Hearing will be required and agreed to hold one as part of its documentation?		NA		
Spot Rezonings				
Will the proposal result in a loss of development potential for the site (ie reduced FSR or building height) that is not supported by an endorsed strategy?	N			

Evaluation Criteria for the Delegation of Plan Making Functions

Evaluation criteria for the issuing of an Authorisation				
(Note: where the matter is identified as relevant and the requirement has not been met, council is to attach information to explain why the matter has not been addressed)	Council response		Department assessment	
	Y/N	Not relevant	Agree	Not agree
Is the rezoning intended to address an anomaly that has been identified following the conversion of a principal LEP into a Standard Instrument LEP format?	N			
Will the planning proposal deal with a previously deferred matter in an existing LEP and if so, does it provide enough information to explain how the issue that lead to the deferral has been addressed?	N			
If yes, does the planning proposal contain sufficient documented justification to enable the matter to proceed?		NA		
Does the planning proposal create an exception to a mapped development standard?	Y			
Section 3.22 Expedited matters				
Does the proposed instrument a. correct an obvious error in the principal instrument consisting of a misdescription, the inconsistent numbering of provisions, a wrong cross-reference, a spelling error, a grammatical mistake, the insertion of obviously missing words, the removal of obviously unnecessary words or a formatting error?; b. address matters in the principal instrument that are of a consequential, transitional, machinery or other minor nature?; or c. deal with matters that do not warrant compliance with the conditions precedent for the making of the instrument because they will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment or adjoining land? (NOTE - the Minister (or Delegate) will need to form an Opinion under section 3.22(1)(c) of the Act in order for a matter in this category to proceed).	N N N			
NOTES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where a council responds 'yes' or can demonstrate that the matter is 'not relevant', in most cases, the planning proposal will routinely be delegated to council to finalise as a matter of local planning significance. Endorsed strategy means a regional strategy, sub-regional strategy, or any other local strategic planning document that is endorsed by the Director-General of the Department. 				
Assessment approved	Steve Schwartz			
Assessment date	10/8/2018			